arXiv:1610.04412v1 [hep-th] 14 Oct 2016

Thermal effects of a photon gas with a deformed Heisenberg algebra

R. Bufalo *

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Lavras,
Caixa Postal 3037, 37200-000 Lavras, MG, Brazil

October 17, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we have consider the thermodynamics of a photon gas subject to the presence of a minimal measurable length following from a covariant extension of the original generalized uncertainty principle (GUP). After establishing consistently a generalized dynamics, we define a GUP deformed Maxwell invariant which serves as the basis for our study. In order to highlight the GUP effects we compute the one- and two-loop order contribution to the partition function at the high-temperature limit. Afterwards, by computing the internal energy density we conclude that the additional terms can be seen as corrections $\delta\sigma_{\text{gup}}$ to the Stefan-Boltzmann law due to GUP effects.

*E-mail: rodrigo.bufalo@dfi.ufla.br

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Covariant deformed Heisenberg algebra **4**

3 One-loop calculation **8**

4 Two-loop calculation **11**

5 Concluding remarks **14**

1 Introduction

Along the last decades several heuristic proposals have provided model-independent features and insights for a better understanding of the Nature behaviour at shortest distances, i.e. of a quantum theory of gravity, these are highly motivated with phenomenological inspirations [1]. The search for a common description of particle physics and gravity and for a quantum theory of the gravitational sector is certainly one of the most outstanding and longstanding problems in physics. Space-time noncommutativity and non-Heisenberg uncertainty relations naturally emerges at Plank scale in attempts to accommodate Quantum Mechanics and General Relativity in a common framework [2–5]; it is generally believed that our smooth classical picture of spacetime should break down at small distances since quantum fluctuations start to dominate.

One common feature of such frameworks is the existence of a minimal measure length that is ascribed to quantum gravitational effects, or even that the continuum representation of spacetime breaks near to Planck scale E_{Pl}, suggesting in this case that Planck's length ℓ_{Pl} acts as a minimal measurable length scale [6] [1] in almost all frameworks of quantum gravity (string theory, black hole physics, loop quantum gravity, etc) [2, 3].

In this way, in order to incorporate the presence of a minimal measurable length scale in a given theory, its canonical structure is changed and hence Heisenberg uncertainty principle is modified, which is then generalized to a new uncertainty principle, the so-called generalized uncertainty principle (GUP) that encompass this minimal length scale [3, 7–11]

$$\Delta\hat{x} \gtrsim \frac{\hbar}{\Delta\hat{p}} + \text{const. } G\,\Delta\hat{p} \tag{1.1}$$

Another consequence in order to encompass the presence of a minimal length is that the canonical Heisenberg algebra, $[\hat{x},\hat{p}] = i\hbar$, is modified to a non canonical form – in agreement to the generalized uncertainty principle. Thus, in this context, the commutation relation of the position and momentum

[1] Notice however that the not necessarily the minimal length must be at Planck scale. There are some proposals where the minimal length is in an intermediary scale, placed between the electroweak and Planck scale.

operators is now momentum and/or position operator dependent and can be represented generally by the expression $[\hat{x},\hat{p}] = i\hbar f(\hat{x},\hat{p})$, where $f(\hat{x},\hat{p})$ is some function of the operators $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p}$; so that all the GUP can be obtained from a given function $f(\hat{x},\hat{p})$. Notice that the ordinary case is recovered when this function goes to unit.

In the sense of a GUP, a simple deformation of the Heisenberg algebra given as [2–4]

$$\left[\hat{x}^i,\hat{p}_j\right] = i\hbar\left(\delta^i_j + \tilde{\alpha}\left(\hat{p}^2\delta^i_j + 2\hat{p}^i\hat{p}_j\right)\right), \tag{1.2}$$

is found to be consistent with the existence of a minimum length, with $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}_0\left(\ell_P/\hbar\right)^2 = \tilde{\alpha}_0/\left(M_P c\right)^2$, and α_0 is a constant assumed to be of order of unit.

On the other hand, in a generalization of special relativity, there are approaches that suggest the existence of an independent observer scale which could be a Planck energy scale, this is the so-called doubly special relativity (DSR) [12–14]. It should be remarked that the interesting thing about DSR is that it preserves Lorentz symmetry and the basic postulates of special relativity, but in addition it introduces an upper limit of energy. It is also possible to express the DSR feature of a maximum momentum scale in the form of a deformed algebra [13, 14]

$$\left[\hat{x}^i,\hat{p}_j\right] = i\hbar\left(\delta^i_j - \tilde{\varepsilon}\left(\sqrt{\hat{p}^2}\delta^i_j + \frac{\hat{p}^i\hat{p}_j}{\sqrt{\hat{p}^2}}\right)\right), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \ell_P$. One can, however, define a new algebra encompassing both features of GUP and DSR, minimal length and maximum momentum, respectively, so that the commutators read [15]

$$\left[\hat{x}^i,\hat{p}_j\right] = i\hbar\left(\delta^i_j - \varepsilon\left(\sqrt{\hat{p}^2}\delta^i_j + \frac{\hat{p}^i\hat{p}_j}{\sqrt{\hat{p}^2}}\right) + \alpha\left(\hat{p}^2\delta^i_j + 2\hat{p}^i\hat{p}_j\right)\right), \tag{1.4}$$

in this case the relations $\left[\hat{x}^i,\hat{x}_j\right] = 0 = \left[\hat{p}^i,\hat{p}_j\right]$ are ensured via Jacobi identity. For instance, the one-dimensional GUP is found to be

$$\Delta\hat{x}\Delta\hat{p} \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}\left(1 - 2\varepsilon\left\langle\hat{p}\right\rangle + \left(3\alpha - 2\varepsilon^2\right)\left\langle\hat{p}^2\right\rangle\right), \tag{1.5}$$

in particular, we see that the choice $\alpha = 2\varepsilon^2$ reproduces the results of the GUP as proposed by ref. [15]. As a result, we find out from this expression that $\Delta\hat{x} \geq (\Delta\hat{x})_{\min} \approx \varepsilon_0\ell_P$ and $\Delta\hat{p} \leq (\Delta\hat{p})_{\max} \approx \frac{M_P c}{\varepsilon_0}$. Additionally, we see that the physical momentum is modified as follows

$$x_i = \tilde{x}_i, \quad p_i = \tilde{p}_i\left(1 - \alpha\sqrt{\tilde{p}^2} + 2\alpha^2\tilde{p}^2\right), \tag{1.6}$$

where the tilde operators satisfy the usual canonical Heisenberg algebra. In view of these effects, one observes a modification into the energy-momentum dispersion relation so that $E^2(p) = p^2c^2\left(1 - \alpha\sqrt{p^2}\right)^2 + m^2c^4$. Such modifications definitely have prominent effects in a plethora of quantum physical phenomena [16–20]. One particular and rich context where GUP implies essential effects is into statistical and thermodynamic properties of any physical system [21–26]. This is due to the fact that GUP

changes the number of accessible microscopic states of the phase space volume, which thus modify the density states.

Although studies have been presented investigating thermal effects on physical systems, ideal gas or photon gas, in the presence of different types of GUP, we wish here to analyse photon gas thermodynamics from a field theoretical point-of-view. Hence, for this purpose we will consider a covariant extension of the algebra (1.4) proposed in Ref. [27], and employ it in the analysis of thermal effects on a photon gas. The work is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we briefly review the main aspects of the covariant deformed Heisenberg algebra, and deduce a generalized field strength tensor associated to this deformed algebra. Moreover, we propose a functional action for the gauge field based on this generalized field strength tensor, and determine the respective Feynman rules which takes into account leading GUP effects. In Sec. 3, we compute the one-loop diagrams contribution to the effective Lagrangian. Next, in Sec. 4, we proceed and calculate the two-loop graphs contribution at high-temperature limit to the effective Lagrangian. Thus, based on the one- and two-loop results we compute the internal energy density. Finally, our conclusions and remarks are given in Sec. 5.

2 Covariant deformed Heisenberg algebra

It is of our interest to consider a GUP formulated so that the time component is included [27] – an extension of the algebra (1.4). Thus, we have

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = i\left(\delta^\mu_\nu - \varepsilon\left(\sqrt{\hat{p}^2}\delta^\mu_\nu + \frac{\hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}_\nu}{\sqrt{\hat{p}^2}}\right) + \alpha\left(\hat{p}^2\delta^\mu_\nu + 2\hat{p}^\mu\hat{p}_\nu\right)\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, we can define a new set of phase-space variables

$$\hat{x}^\mu = x^\mu, \quad \hat{p}_\nu = \left(1 - \varepsilon\sqrt{p^2} + \alpha p^2\right) p_\nu, \tag{2.2}$$

so that they satisfy the canonical commutation relations $[x^\mu, p_\nu] = i$, it can be shown that (2.1) is satisfied. In particular, p_ν can be interpreted as the momentum at low energies (where the representation in position space reads $p_\nu = -i\partial_\nu$) while $\hat{p}_\nu$ is that at higher energies.

Although this generalized GUP has both minimal length and maximal momentum (time and energy) the ε-dependent term gives non-local contributions which complicate substantially our analysis in regard to the gauge fields (for instance, when taking the minimal coupling in configuration representation $p_\nu = -i\partial_\nu \to -i\nabla_\nu$, we obtain $\sqrt{p^2} \to \sqrt{-\nabla_\nu\nabla^\nu} = \sqrt{-(\partial + iA)_\nu(\partial + iA)^\nu}$). Hence, we shall take $\varepsilon = 0$ and concentrate our analysis only on the α-dependent terms – that will engender minimal length and time effects.

Perhaps an alternative way to workaround the problematic non-local behavior due to the use of minimal coupling in the above realization of position and momentum operators, i.e. those $\sqrt{p^2}$ ε-dependent terms, is to find a generalized procedure of minimal coupling such as in Ref. [28]. There the authors find Lorentz force and Maxwell's equations on kappa-Minkowski space-time by postulating

that the momentum (with gauge field) $p \to \pi$ satisfies the same commutation relation as p. Hence, this generalized approach could help us to circumvent the above non-local issues, or at least give us insights, since it is expected that this approach give us different realization for the operators $\hat{x}^\mu$ and $\hat{p}^\mu$ in (2.2), so that terms like $\sqrt{p^2}$ are fully removed, but at the same preserving the GUP structure. Nonetheless, this proposal should be further elaborated and in a positive case analyzed for a development of a deformed electrodynamics.

Based on the above discussion, one can immediately conclude that a GUP, actually the variables from (2.2), leads to an action of infinite order in derivatives, implying thus in an infinite series of interaction terms. This is the most interesting point that we wish to explore. In particular, one can easily see that a calculation to the first order already yields additional interactions terms of the gauge field.

We shall now apply GUP, i.e. (2.2), to the free Dirac action and then resort to the (minimal coupling) gauge principle in order to develop the formalism for the gauge fields. In this deformed scenario, the action reads [29]

$$S = \int d^4x \overline{\psi} \left[i \left(1 - \alpha \partial^\lambda \partial_\lambda \right) \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m \right] \psi, \tag{2.3}$$

it is easy to see that this action is invariant under a certain global symmetry transformation. Now, if we extend this to a local transformation, the additional derivatives also act on the local unitary operator $U(x)$, so we must have the replacement [30]

$$\left(1 - \alpha \partial^\lambda \partial_\lambda \right) \partial_\mu \to \left(1 - \alpha \nabla^\lambda \nabla_\lambda \right) \nabla_\mu. \tag{2.4}$$

Hence, from this minimal coupling, in the same way the usual covariant derivative satisfies

$$\delta \left(\nabla_\mu \psi \right) = U(x) \left(\nabla_\mu \psi \right) \tag{2.5}$$

one can show that the transformation of the subsequent term reads

$$\delta \left(\nabla^\lambda \nabla_\lambda \left(\nabla_\mu \psi \right) \right) = U(x) \left(\nabla^\lambda \nabla_\lambda \left(\nabla_\mu \psi \right) \right), \tag{2.6}$$

note that $[\gamma^\mu, U(x)] = 0$, since here $U(x)$ does not refers to a spacetime transformation, otherwise we should have $\gamma^\mu \to U(x) \gamma^\mu U^\dagger(x)$. According to the above transformation rules, it shows to be convenient to define a GUP covariant derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu = \left(1 - \alpha \nabla^\lambda \nabla_\lambda \right) \nabla_\mu, \tag{2.7}$$

in particular, we see that it behaves as the usual covariant derivative under a local gauge transformation, i.e. $\mathcal{D}_\mu \to U(x) \mathcal{D}_\mu U^\dagger(x)$.

Consequently, a generalized definition of the field strength tensor for the gauge fields follows naturally. This is achieved by the definition

$$i \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \Phi = \left[\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu \right] \Phi. \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, this relation is well motivated and necessary so that the field equations following from the action for the gauge field (built from such definition) contain GUP effects. Furthermore, notice that from this definition the gauge invariance of the field strength tensor follows as $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow U(x)\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}U^{\dagger}(x)$.

We can now compute an explicit expression for the generalized field strength tensor up to α-order, so that it yields

$$i\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}\Phi = i\Big(\left(1-2\alpha\nabla_{\rho}\nabla^{\rho}\right)F_{\mu\nu} - \alpha\left(\nabla^{\rho}F_{\mu\rho}+F_{\mu\rho}\nabla^{\rho}\right)\nabla_{\nu} - \alpha\left(F_{\rho\nu}\nabla^{\rho}+\nabla^{\rho}F_{\rho\nu}\right)\nabla_{\mu}\Big)\Phi + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}\left(\alpha^{2}\right), \tag{2.9}$$

here we have introduced the usual Abelian field strength $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$. Thus we have that the Maxwell's invariant reads [30, 31]

$$\int \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu\nu} = \int\Big\{F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + 4\alpha\left(\partial^{\rho}F_{\mu\nu}\right)^{2} - 8i\alpha\left(A_{\rho}F^{\rho\nu}\right)\left(\partial^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu}\right) + 8\alpha\left(A^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu}\right)^{2} + 4\alpha\left(A^{\rho}F^{\mu\nu}\right)^{2}\Big\} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}\left(\alpha^{2}\right). \tag{2.10}$$

The gauge invariance of the generalized Maxwell's invariant follows from the gauge invariance of the field strength tensor $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}$. Moreover, notice that the first two terms are those present in the Bopp-Podolsky generalized electrodynamics [32, 33]. These are higher-derivative (HD) terms and they have several problems associated with their presence, for instance unitarity [34]. However, they have prominent role in gravity [35]. Nonetheless, we shall use the action defined by (2.10) in our analysis of thermal effects.

On the other hand, we can also consider a second invariant

$$\int \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^{\mu\nu} = \int\Big\{G^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + 4\alpha\partial_{\theta}G^{\mu\nu}\partial^{\theta}F_{\mu\nu} + 4\alpha G^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}A_{\theta}A^{\theta} + 8\alpha A^{\theta}F_{\mu\theta}A_{\nu}G^{\mu\nu} + 4i\alpha A^{\theta}F_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\theta}G^{\mu\nu} - 4i\alpha G^{\mu\nu}A_{\theta}\partial^{\theta}F_{\mu\nu} + 8i\alpha A^{\theta}F_{\theta\mu}\partial_{\nu}G^{\mu\nu}\Big\} + O\left(\alpha^{2}\right) \tag{2.11}$$

which is also gauge invariant, where we have introduced a dual for the field strength tensor $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}$ defined as field strength tensor $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\lambda\sigma}$. That can be used for instance in the analysis of GUP effects on nonlinear electrodynamics, for instance in the Born-Infeld electrodynamics.

In order to proceed with our analysis let us consider the following functional action

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{c} = -\frac{1}{4}\int \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu\nu} \tag{2.12}$$

The next step is to derive the Feynman rules from (2.12). The gauge field propagator is found when we choose a suitable gauge fixing condition, in which we consider here a non-mixing Lorenz condition given as [36, 37]

$$\Omega[A] = \sqrt{(1+\alpha\Box)}\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu} = 0, \tag{2.13}$$

notice that this is a pseudodifferential operator [38]. Thus, we have that the propagator reads

$$iD^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha k^2)\,k^2}\eta^{\mu\nu} - (1-\xi)\,\frac{1}{(1-\alpha k^2)\,k^4}k^\mu k^\nu, \tag{2.14}$$

In particular, we take the Feynman gauge $\xi = 1$, so that we find a simple expression

$$iD^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha k^2)\,k^2}\eta^{\mu\nu} = \left[\frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{(k^2-\alpha^{-1})}\right]\eta^{\mu\nu}. \tag{2.15}$$

The remaining Feynman rules, i.e. for three and four gauge fields, can be obtained straightforwardly from the action (2.12). The three-gauge field vertex $\langle A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda \rangle$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} i\Gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda}(p,q,k) = -\frac{\alpha}{2}\delta(p+q+k)\Big[&\left(q^\mu\delta^\nu_\sigma + p^\nu\delta^\mu_\sigma - \eta^{\nu\mu}(p_\sigma+q_\sigma)\right)\left(k^2\eta^{\lambda\sigma} - k^\lambda k^\sigma\right) \\ &+ \left(k^\mu\delta^\lambda_\sigma + p^\lambda\delta^\mu_\sigma - \eta^{\lambda\mu}(p_\sigma+k_\sigma)\right)\left(q^2\eta^{\nu\sigma} - q^\nu q^\sigma\right) \\ &+ \left(k^\nu\delta^\lambda_\sigma + q^\lambda\delta^\nu_\sigma - \eta^{\lambda\nu}(q_\sigma+k_\sigma)\right)\left(p^2\eta^{\mu\sigma} - p^\mu p^\sigma\right)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

while the four-gauge field vertex $\langle A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda A_\sigma \rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &i\Gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}(p,q,k,r) = \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{4}\left(2\eta^{\psi\pi}\eta^{\phi\tau}\eta^{\beta\omega} + \eta^{\phi\pi}\eta^{\psi\tau}\eta^{\beta\omega}\right)\delta(p+q+k+r) \\ &\times\Big\{\left(r_\phi\delta^\sigma_\beta - r_\beta\delta^\sigma_\phi\right)(q_\pi\delta^\nu_\omega - q_\omega\delta^\nu_\pi)\left(\delta^\mu_\tau\delta^\lambda_\psi + \delta^\mu_\psi\delta^\lambda_\tau\right) + \left(r_\phi\delta^\sigma_\beta - r_\beta\delta^\sigma_\phi\right)\left(k_\pi\delta^\lambda_\omega - k_\omega\delta^\lambda_\pi\right)\left(\delta^\mu_\tau\delta^\nu_\psi + \delta^\mu_\psi\delta^\nu_\tau\right) \\ &+ \left(r_\phi\delta^\sigma_\beta - r_\beta\delta^\sigma_\phi\right)(p_\pi\delta^\mu_\omega - p_\omega\delta^\mu_\pi)\left(\delta^\nu_\tau\delta^\lambda_\psi + \delta^\nu_\psi\delta^\lambda_\tau\right) \\ &+ \left(k_\phi\delta^\lambda_\beta - k_\beta\delta^\lambda_\phi\right)(q_\pi\delta^\nu_\omega - q_\omega\delta^\nu_\pi)\left(\delta^\sigma_\psi\delta^\mu_\tau + \delta^\sigma_\tau\delta^\mu_\psi\right) + \left(k_\phi\delta^\lambda_\beta - k_\beta\delta^\lambda_\phi\right)(r_\pi\delta^\sigma_\omega - r_\omega\delta^\sigma_\pi)\left(\delta^\mu_\tau\delta^\nu_\psi + \delta^\mu_\psi\delta^\nu_\tau\right) \\ &+ \left(k_\phi\delta^\lambda_\beta - k_\beta\delta^\lambda_\phi\right)(p_\pi\delta^\mu_\omega - p_\omega\delta^\mu_\pi)\left(\delta^\nu_\tau\delta^\sigma_\psi + \delta^\nu_\psi\delta^\sigma_\tau\right) \\ &+ \left(q_\phi\delta^\nu_\beta - q_\beta\delta^\nu_\phi\right)\left(k_\pi\delta^\lambda_\omega - k_\omega\delta^\lambda_\pi\right)\left(\delta^\sigma_\psi\delta^\mu_\tau + \delta^\sigma_\tau\delta^\mu_\psi\right) + \left(q_\phi\delta^\nu_\beta - q_\beta\delta^\nu_\phi\right)(r_\pi\delta^\sigma_\omega - r_\omega\delta^\sigma_\pi)\left(\delta^\mu_\tau\delta^\lambda_\psi + \delta^\mu_\psi\delta^\lambda_\tau\right) \\ &+ \left(q_\phi\delta^\nu_\beta - q_\beta\delta^\nu_\phi\right)(p_\pi\delta^\mu_\omega - p_\omega\delta^\mu_\pi)\left(\delta^\sigma_\psi\delta^\lambda_\tau + \delta^\lambda_\psi\delta^\sigma_\tau\right) \\ &+ \left(p_\phi\delta^\mu_\beta - p_\beta\delta^\mu_\phi\right)\left(k_\pi\delta^\lambda_\omega - k_\omega\delta^\lambda_\pi\right)\left(\delta^\sigma_\psi\delta^\nu_\tau + \delta^\nu_\psi\delta^\sigma_\tau\right) + \left(p_\phi\delta^\mu_\beta - p_\beta\delta^\mu_\phi\right)(r_\pi\delta^\sigma_\omega - r_\omega\delta^\sigma_\pi)\left(\delta^\nu_\tau\delta^\lambda_\psi + \delta^\nu_\psi\delta^\lambda_\tau\right) \\ &+ \left(p_\phi\delta^\mu_\beta - p_\beta\delta^\mu_\phi\right)(q_\pi\delta^\nu_\omega - q_\omega\delta^\nu_\pi)\left(\delta^\sigma_\psi\delta^\lambda_\tau + \delta^\lambda_\psi\delta^\sigma_\tau\right)\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

It is of phenomenological interest to highlight the effects of these new two tree vertices α–coupling in comparison to the usual fermions and photon e–coupling. These two contributions can be in principle compared at the light-by-light scattering, since all three these vertex have a finite contribution. It is known that at one-loop this process is of e^4-order, but it also have α^4-order and α^2-order contributions from the $\langle A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda \rangle$ and $\langle A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda A_\sigma \rangle$ vertices, respectively. But, since the value of α is presumably related to Planck scale, its contribution is rather small in comparison to the e–coupling contribution, giving hence a rather tiny contribution to any outcome value comparable to data.



Figure 1: One-loop ring contributions: photon and ghost loops.

3 One-loop calculation

The first contribution comes from the quadratic part of the action (2.12), augmented by the non-mixing gauge-fixing and ghost fields is given by [2]

$$\mathcal{L}_{AA+cc} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4m^2}\left(\partial^{\rho}F_{\mu\nu}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2\xi}\left(\sqrt{(1+m^{-2}\Box)}\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu}\right)^2 + \partial_{\mu}\overline{c}\sqrt{(1+m^{-2}\Box)}\partial_{\mu}c \tag{3.1}$$

The lowest order contributions to the effective Lagrangian are the (one-loop) ring diagrams from the photon loop and ghost loop Fig. 1

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm p} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm gh}, \tag{3.2}$$

where each contribution reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm ph} = -\frac{1}{2}\ln{\rm Det}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mu\nu}\right), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm gh} = \ln\det\left(\sqrt{1+m^{-2}\Box}\Box\right), \tag{3.4}$$

in which we have the following differential operator

$$M_{\mu\nu}(x,y) = \left[\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \left\{1-\frac{1}{\xi}\right\}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\right]\left(1+m^{-2}\Box\right)\delta(x,y). \tag{3.5}$$

Notice that in $\mathcal{L}_{\rm ph}$ we also have the determinant on the spacetime indices in addition to the Hilbert space. Now, in this case we find the result ${\rm Det}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mu\nu}\right) = \frac{1}{\xi}\left[(1+\alpha\Box)\Box\right]^4$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm ph} = -2\ln\det\left(\left(1+m^{-2}\Box\right)\Box\right), \tag{3.6}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm gh} = \ln\det\left(\sqrt{1+m^{-2}\Box}\Box\right). \tag{3.7}$$

[2] By means of notation, we shall consider henceforth $m^2 = \alpha^{-1}$.

Moreover, in the imaginary time formalism we can express these contributions as

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = -\frac{3}{2}\ln\det\left(1+\alpha\Box\right) - \ln\det\Box, \tag{3.8}$$

$$= -\frac{3}{2\beta}\sum_{n_B}\int\frac{d^{\omega-1}p}{(2\pi)^{\omega-1}}\ln\left[\beta^2\left(1-\frac{p^2}{m^2}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{n_B}\int\frac{d^{\omega-1}p}{(2\pi)^{\omega-1}}\ln\left[-\beta^2p^2\right], \tag{3.9}$$

where we are considering by means of generality a ω-dimensional spacetime in order to compute the momentum sum/integral. It should emphasized that the sum is over $p_0 = i\omega_{n_B}$, where $\omega_{n_B} = \frac{2n\pi}{\beta}$ is the bosonic Matsubara frequency. In order to evaluate the sum/integrals in Eq.(3.8)

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = -\frac{3}{2\beta}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\ln\left[\beta^2\left(1-\frac{\left[(q_0)^2-|q|^2\right]}{m^2}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{\beta}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\ln\left[-\beta^2\left[(q_0)^2-|q|^2\right]\right]. \tag{3.10}$$

where we have introduced the notation for the bosonic sum/integral

$$\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B} \equiv \sum_{n_B}\int\frac{d^{\omega-1}p}{(2\pi)^{\omega-1}}. \tag{3.11}$$

It is important to notice that the massive sector has the expected correct number of three degrees-of-freedom (d.o.f.), this matches the obtained results from Podolsky's theory [39]. The massless part has two degrees of freedom (#d.o.f./2 = 2/2 = 1), while the massive sector has three degrees of freedom (#d.o.f./2 = 3/2).

We should remark that as usual all temperature-independent parts of (3.10) lead to a divergent result, i.e., the zero-point energy of the vacuum, and they are subtracted off since they adds to an unobservable constant. Next, the massless bosonic sum/integral can be readily evaluated

$$I^{(1)}_{B_1} = \sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\ln\left(-\beta^2\left[(q_0)^2-|\vec{q}|^2\right]\right) = 2\int\frac{d^{\omega-1}q}{(2\pi)^{\omega-1}}\ln\left(1-e^{-\beta\omega_q}\right), \tag{3.12}$$

with $\omega_q = |\vec{q}|$. Besides, we can make use of the known result for the bosonic integration

$$\int_0^\infty\frac{z^{x-1}}{e^z-1}dz = \Gamma(x)\,\zeta(x), \tag{3.13}$$

in order to get

$$I^{(1)}_{B_1} = -\frac{2\beta^{1-\omega}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{\omega-1}{2}}}\frac{\Gamma(\omega)\,\zeta(\omega)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\omega+1}{2}\right)}. \tag{3.14}$$

Moreover, in order to compute the massive bosonic sum/integral we write

$$I^{(1)}_{B_2} = \sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\ln\left[\beta^2\left(1-\frac{\left[(q_0)^2-|q|^2\right]}{m^2}\right)\right] = 2\int\frac{d^{\omega-1}q}{(2\pi)^{\omega-1}}\ln\left(1-e^{-\beta\omega_M}\right),$$

where $\omega_m^2 = |q|^2 + m^2$. Besides, we can rewrite the above expression as

$$I_{B_2}^{(1)} = -\frac{2\beta}{(4\pi)^{\frac{\omega-1}{2}}}\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\omega+1}{2}\right)}\int_0^\infty \frac{q^\omega}{e^{\beta\sqrt{q^2+m^2}}-1}\frac{dq}{\sqrt{q^2+m^2}}, \tag{3.15}$$

In particular, it is useful to consider the identity $\frac{e^{-\beta\sqrt{q^2+m^2}}}{1-e^{-\beta\sqrt{q^2+m^2}}} = \sum_{k=1} e^{-k\beta\sqrt{q^2+m^2}}$, this relation holds since $\beta\sqrt{q^2+m^2} > 0$, thus $e^{-\beta\sqrt{q^2+m^2}} < 1$. Moreover, by means of a change of variables $z = \sqrt{q^2+m^2}$ and introducing $z = mw$, we find

$$I_{B_2}^{(1)} = -\frac{2\beta}{(4\pi)^{\frac{\omega-1}{2}}}\frac{m^\omega}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\omega+1}{2}\right)}\sum_{k=1}\int_1^\infty dw\left(w^2-1\right)^{\frac{\omega-1}{2}} e^{-k\beta mw}. \tag{3.16}$$

We can then make use of the following representation of the modified Bessel function of the second-kind [40]

$$K_n(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^n\int_1^\infty dx\left(x^2-1\right)^{n-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-zx}, \tag{3.17}$$

and by recognizing $n = \frac{\omega}{2}$ and $z = k\beta M$, one finds

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\omega+1}{2}\right)}\int_1^\infty dx\left(x^2-1\right)^{\frac{\omega-1}{2}} e^{-k\beta mx} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{2}{k\beta m}\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2}} K_{\frac{\omega}{2}}(k\beta m). \tag{3.18}$$

Hence, this result allows us to write the final expression for the massive contribution as

$$I_{B_2}^{(1)} = -\frac{4\beta m^\omega}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\omega}{2}}}\sum_{k=1}\left(\frac{1}{k\beta m}\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2}} K_{\frac{\omega}{2}}(k\beta m). \tag{3.19}$$

Therefore, with the results (3.14) and (3.19) we find the complete bosonic contribution (3.10)

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\beta^4}\left[\frac{\pi^2}{45} + \frac{3}{16\pi^2}\sum_{k=1}\left(\frac{2\beta m}{k}\right)^2 K_2(k\beta m)\right]. \tag{3.20}$$

Although we have obtained a closed form expression, there is not known a form for the above series, which means that we can resort to thermal properties in order to find a suitable approximation for its evaluation [41]. We can then assume the high-temperature limit, i.e. the inequality holds $\beta m \ll 1$, which means that the parameter m should be much less than the thermal energy. Thus, we may use the asymptotic expansion for $|z| \to 0$ [40]

$$K_2(z) \sim \frac{2}{z^2} - \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}(z^2), \tag{3.21}$$

so that, within this approximation, the expression (3.20) can be rewritten in the form

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\beta^4}\left[\frac{\pi^2}{45} + \frac{3}{16\pi^2}(2\beta m)^2\left(\frac{2}{(\beta m)^2}\sum_{k=1}\frac{1}{k^4} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}\frac{1}{k^2} + \mathcal{O}((k\beta m)^2)\right)\right]. \tag{3.22}$$

so the above sums can be written in terms of Riemann zeta function, so that

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} \simeq \frac{1}{\beta^4}\left[\frac{\pi^2}{45} + \frac{\pi^2}{60} - \frac{1}{16}(\beta m)^2\right]. \tag{3.23}$$

We then notice a correction due to GUP at the same order as in the (constant) coefficient Stefan-Boltzmann law. This will be further discussed later.



(a) (b)

Figure 2: The two-loop contributions coming from the three- and four-point functions.

4 Two-loop calculation

Since we have already shown the role played by the higher-derivative term by computing the one-loop contribution, we wish now to highlight the part played by the interactions induced by the generalized GUP. For this purpose we will now proceed and compute the two-loop order effective Lagrangian, the two contributing diagrams are shown at Fig. 2. The contribution (a) reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_a^{(2)} =& \frac{1}{2\beta^2}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{m_B} iD_{\mu\nu}(q)\, i\Gamma^{\mu\lambda\chi}(q,k,-p)\, iD_{\lambda\theta}(k)\, i\Gamma^{\phi\theta\nu}(p,-k,-q)\, iD_{\chi\phi}(p)\,,\\ =& \frac{1}{2\beta^2}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{m_B}\left[\frac{1}{k^2}-\frac{1}{k^2-m^2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{p^2}-\frac{1}{p^2-m^2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{q^2}-\frac{1}{q^2-m^2}\right]\\ &\times\left[\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\lambda\theta}\eta_{\chi\phi} i\Gamma^{\mu\lambda\chi}(q,k,-p)\, i\Gamma^{\phi\theta\nu}(p,-k,-q)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $k=p-q$; while the contribution (b) is given as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_b^{(2)} =& \frac{1}{8\beta^2}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{m_B} iD_{\mu\nu}(p)\, i\Gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}(p,-p,-q,q)\, iD_{\lambda\sigma}(q)\,,\\ =& \frac{1}{8\beta^2}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{m_B}\left[\frac{1}{p^2}-\frac{1}{p^2-m^2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{q^2}-\frac{1}{(q-m^2)}\right]\left[\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\lambda\sigma} i\Gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}(p,-p,-q,q)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

The contribution (a), Eq. (4.1), is rather intricate, and after computing the tensor contraction and performing some simplifications we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_a^{(2)} =& -\frac{\alpha^2 m^6}{8\beta^2}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{m_B}\frac{1}{(k^2-m^2)}\frac{1}{(p^2-m^2)}\frac{1}{(q^2-m^2)}\\ &\times\left[\frac{2k^2}{q^2}+\frac{2p^4}{k^2q^2}-4-\frac{3}{2}\frac{k^4}{p^2q^2}-7\frac{p^2}{q^2}-\frac{2p^2}{k^2}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

However, at finite temperature, the massive term is not easily handled, neither in order to get a closed expression for it, specially in the form present in Eq. (4.3). Hence, as we have considered in the

Sect.3, we shall regard henceforth the (high-temperature) approximation $m^2/k^2 \approx \beta^2 m^2 \gg 1$ in (4.3), which is consistent with the hard thermal loop approximation, and then consider the leading terms we are able to obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_a^{(2)} \simeq -\frac{\alpha^2 m^4}{8\beta^2}\left(\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\left[4\frac{1}{q^2-m^2}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{q^2}\right]\right)\left(\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{m_B}\frac{1}{p^2-m^2}\right). \tag{4.4}$$

We consider next the second contribution (4.2), the tensor contraction is readily computed and result into

$$\mathcal{L}_b^{(2)} = \frac{9\alpha m^2}{\beta^2}\left(\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\left[\frac{1}{q^2}-\frac{1}{q^2-m^2}\right]\right)\left(\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{m_B}\frac{1}{p^2-m^2}\right). \tag{4.5}$$

Hence, the sum of the two contributions Eqs.(4.4) and (4.5) gives the total two-loop contribution

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \mathcal{L}_a^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_b^{(2)} \tag{4.6}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha^2 m^4}{\beta^2}\left(\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{n_B}\left[\frac{143}{16}\frac{1}{q^2}-\frac{19}{2}\frac{1}{q^2-m^2}\right]\right)\left(\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{m_B}\frac{1}{p^2-m^2}\right), \tag{4.7}$$

We can compute these sum/integration as follows: first the massless part that gives contribution

$$\frac{1}{\beta}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{m_B}\frac{1}{q^2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\beta^{-2}\Gamma(2)\,\zeta(2) = -\frac{\beta^{-2}}{12} \tag{4.8}$$

where we have made use of the integration (3.13) and the bosonic sum

$$\frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{m_B}\frac{1}{\left(\frac{2\pi m_b}{\beta}\right)^2+\omega_q^2} = \frac{1}{\omega_q}\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{e^{\beta\omega_q}-1}\right]$$

Now the massive integration requires further care in its evaluation

$$\frac{1}{\beta}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{m_B}\frac{1}{q^2-m^2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\beta^{-2}\int dz\frac{z^2}{\sqrt{z^2+a^2}}\frac{1}{e^{\sqrt{z^2+a^2}}-1} \tag{4.9}$$

where we have defined $a^2=\beta^2 m^2$. In order to gain insights about the behavior of the above integral, we can consider the high-temperature limit, so that we obtain approximately the leading value of the integral [41]. In this case, we may consider the expansion [41]

$$I\left(a^2\right) \equiv \int dz\frac{z^2}{\sqrt{z^2+a^2}}\frac{1}{e^{\sqrt{z^2+a^2}}-1} = I\left(a^2\right)\big|_{a^2=0} + a^2\left.\frac{\partial I\left(a^2\right)}{\partial a^2}\right|_{a^2=0} + \frac{a^4}{2!}\left.\frac{\partial^2 I\left(a^2\right)}{\partial a^4}\right|_{a^2=0} + \ldots \tag{4.10}$$

the first term is well defined

$$I\left(a^2\right)\big|_{a^2=0} = \int dz\frac{z}{e^z-1} = \Gamma(2)\,\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \tag{4.11}$$

while the second term

$$\frac{\partial I\left(a^2\right)}{\partial a^2} = -\int dz \frac{1}{\sqrt{z^2+a^2}} \frac{1}{e^{\sqrt{z^2+a^2}}-1} \tag{4.12}$$

however, demands further care, because the limit $a = 0$ leads to a singular result. Hence, it is convenient to study the regulated quantity

$$\frac{\partial I_\varepsilon\left(a^2\right)}{\partial a^2} = -\int dz \frac{z^{-\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{z^2+a^2}} \frac{1}{e^{\sqrt{z^2+a^2}}-1}$$

with $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. This regulated expression is known [41] and can be straightforwardly computed yielding

$$\frac{\partial I_\varepsilon\left(a^2\right)}{\partial a^2} = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{a}{4\pi} - \frac{\pi}{2a} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma + \mathcal{O}\left(a^2\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon\right). \tag{4.13}$$

With these results we then obtain the following expression containing the leading terms of the expansion (4.10)

$$\int dz \frac{z^2}{\sqrt{z^2+a^2}} \frac{1}{e^{\sqrt{z^2+a^2}}-1} \simeq \frac{\pi^2}{6} + a^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{a}{4\pi} - \frac{\pi}{2a} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \tag{4.14}$$

Hence, with the result (4.14), we find that the massive contribution (4.9) reads

$$\frac{1}{\beta}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{m_B} \frac{1}{q^2-m^2} = -\frac{1}{12}\beta^{-2} - \frac{m^2}{8\pi^2}\ln\frac{\beta^2 m^2}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{m}{\beta} + \frac{m^2}{4\pi^2}\gamma \tag{4.15}$$

Finally, replacing the obtained results (4.15) and (4.8) back into the expression (4.7), we obtain that the two-loop contribution to the effective action is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{(2)} \simeq \frac{\alpha^2 m^4}{\beta^4}\Bigg[&-\frac{1}{256} + \frac{161}{768\pi}m\beta + \frac{1}{32\pi^2}\left(\frac{161\gamma}{24} - 19\right)m^2\beta^2 - \frac{19\gamma}{16\pi^3}m^3\beta^3 - \frac{19\gamma^2}{32\pi^4}m^4\beta^4 \\ &- \left(\frac{161}{1536\pi^2}m^2\beta^2 - \frac{19}{32\pi^3}m^3\beta^3 - \frac{19\gamma}{32\pi^4}m^4\beta^4\right)\ln\frac{\beta^2 m^2}{4\pi}\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Notice that the effective Lagrangian computed here is equal to $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \ln Z$, thus we can determine any thermodynamical quantities. Hence, to highlight the GUP effects from the ordinary behavior into obtained results it is useful to compute some of these quantities. In this way, we proceed in computing the internal energy density

$$u(T) = -\left(1 + \beta\frac{d}{d\beta}\right)\mathcal{L}^{\text{total}} \tag{4.17}$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{total}}$ is the sum of the one- and two-loop contributions, Eqs. (3.23) and (4.16), respectively. Hence, performing the derivative of the above expression, we find

$$\begin{aligned}u(T) = &\frac{\pi^2}{15}\frac{1}{\beta^4} + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{20} - \frac{1}{16}m^2\beta^2\right)\frac{1}{\beta^4} \\ &- \frac{\alpha^2 m^4}{\beta^4}\Bigg[\frac{3}{256} - \frac{161}{384\pi}m\beta - \frac{1}{768\pi^2}\left(161\gamma - 295\right)m^2\beta^2 + \frac{19}{16\pi^3}m^3\beta^3 + \frac{19\gamma^2}{32\pi^4}m^4\beta^4 \\ &+ \left(\frac{161}{1536\pi^2}m^2\beta^2 + \frac{19\gamma}{32\pi^4}m^4\beta^4\right)\ln\frac{\beta^2 m^2}{4\pi}\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

It should be remarked that the first term in the right-hand side is the usual Stefan-Boltzmann law, $u = \sigma T^4$ where $\sigma = \pi^2/15$, and the remaining terms can be thought as corrections $\delta\sigma_{\text{gup}}$ to the law due to GUP effects (even the constant terms is corrected in this case). Moreover, equation (4.18) can be used in the description of new phenomena that involve both massless and massive propagating modes for the gauge field. For instance, it has recently been proposed that a nonvanishing photon mass ($m_\gamma \leq 10^{-27} eV$) can be used rather than a cosmological constant ($\Lambda \sim m_\gamma^2$) to explain dark energy consistent with the current observations [42]. In this cosmological scenario thermal effects of massive photons as described here could have prominent role.

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper we have considered the thermodynamics of a photon gas subject to a deformed Heisenberg algebra, or more precisely with the presence of a minimal measurable length. The analysis followed a field theoretical point-of-view in order to compute the effective Lagrangian (partition function). In particular, we have made use of a proposed covariant extension of the original generalized uncertainty principle. After a brief review of this extension, we proceed in order to determine a dynamics for the photon field. For that matter, we first defined a fermionic matter action and then by resorting to local gauge invariance, we introduced a GUP covariant derivative $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_\mu$ that transforms correctly under the given local transformation, i.e. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_\mu \to U(x)\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_\mu U^\dagger(x)$. With this new GUP covariant derivative is straightforward to compute the field strength tensor by the usual identity $i\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}\Phi = \left[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_\mu, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_\nu\right]\Phi$. Finally, with this quantity, we can compute generalized invariants such as $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu\nu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^{\mu\nu}$. It is important to remark that we have taken an expansion in the minimal length α and considered $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ terms in our analysis.

After establishing a GUP modified Maxwell action, in which three- and four-point couplings are now present, we have computed the propagators and the respective vertex functions. Notice that the coefficient of these vertex functions are corrected by nonlocal contributions, i.e. higher-order contributions of the expansion in α, so that the quantities computed here are basically the first-order approximation. In order to highlight the GUP effects we wish to compute thermodynamical quantities. In this way, we considered the one- and two-loop order contribution to the effective Lagrangian (partition function) at the high-temperature limit. Thus, the obtained additional terms can be seen as corrections $\delta\sigma_{\text{GUP}}$ to the Stefan-Boltzmann law due to GUP effects.

Since we have found the presence of a higher-derivative term in the deformed action, we might also wish to circumvent this illness by considering a different covariant algebra, in which the temporal coordinates are as the usual, and the higher-derivatives are only present at the spatial coordinates, i.e. $\hat{x}^\mu = x^\mu, \quad \hat{p}_\nu = \left(p_0, \left(1+\alpha\vec{p}^2\right)p_i\right)$. This can be regarded as a Horava-Lifshitz-like theory, since there are no ghosts (negative energy modes) present. Moreover, we can proceed as before and define a covariant derivative such as $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_\mu = (\nabla_0, (1-\alpha\nabla_k\nabla_k)\nabla_i)$ in order to perform an analysis of this Horava-Lifshitz-like field theory. The subject is under consideration and will be reported elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thanks the anonymous referee for his/her comments and suggestions to improve this paper.

References

[1] G. Amelino-Camelia, "Quantum-Spacetime Phenomenology," Living Rev. Rel. **16**, 5 (2013), arXiv:0806.0339 [gr-qc]

[2] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, "Can Space-Time Be Probed Below the String Size?," Phys. Lett. B **216**, 41 (1989).

[3] M. Maggiore, "A Generalized uncertainty principle in quantum gravity," Phys. Lett. B **304**, 65 (1993), arXiv:hep-th/9301067.

[4] M. Maggiore, "The Algebraic structure of the generalized uncertainty principle," Phys. Lett. B **319** (1993) 83, arXiv:9309034.

[5] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen and J. E. Roberts, "Space-time quantization induced by classical gravity," Phys. Lett. B **331** (1994) 39.

[6] S. Hossenfelder, "Minimal Length Scale Scenarios for Quantum Gravity," Living Rev. Rel. **16**, 2 (2013), arXiv:1203.6191 [gr-qc]

[7] L. J. Garay, "Quantum gravity and minimum length," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **10**, 145 (1995), arXiv:gr-qc/9403008.

[8] S. Kalyana Rama, "Some consequences of the generalized uncertainty principle: Statistical mechanical, cosmological, and varying speed of light," Phys. Lett. B **519** (2001) 103, arXiv:hep-th/0107255.

[9] S. Hossenfelder, M. Bleicher, S. Hofmann, J. Ruppert, S. Scherer and H. Stoecker, "Collider signatures in the Planck regime," Phys. Lett. B **575** (2003) 85, arXiv:hep-th/0305262.

[10] A. N. Tawfik and A. M. Diab, "Generalized Uncertainty Principle: Approaches and Applications," Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **23** (2014) no.12, 1430025, arXiv:1410.0206 [gr-qc].

[11] A. N. Tawfik and A. M. Diab, "Review on Generalized Uncertainty Principle," Rept. Prog. Phys. **78** (2015) 126001, arXiv:1509.02436 [physics.gen-ph] .

[12] G. Amelino-Camelia, "Relativity in space-times with short distance structure ...," Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **11**, 35 (2002), arXiv:gr-qc/0012051.

[13] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, "Lorentz invariance with an invariant energy scale," Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 190403 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0112090.

[14] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, "Generalized Lorentz invariance with an invariant energy scale," Phys. Rev. D **67**, 044017 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0207085.

[15] A. F. Ali, S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, "Discreteness of Space from the Generalized Uncertainty Principle," Phys. Lett. B **678**, 497 (2009), arXiv:0906.5396 [hep-th].

[16] A. F. Ali, S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, "A proposal for testing Quantum Gravity in the lab," Phys. Rev. D **84**, 044013 (2011), arXiv:1107.3164 [hep-th]

[17] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, "Phenomenological Implications of the Generalized Uncertainty Principle," Can. J. Phys. **87** (2009) 233, arXiv:0901.1768 [hep-th].

[18] B. R. Majhi and E. C. Vagenas, "Modified Dispersion Relation, Photon's Velocity, and Unruh Effect," Phys. Lett. B **725** (2013) 477, arXiv:1307.4195 [gr-qc].

[19] F. Scardigli and R. Casadio, "Gravitational tests of the Generalized Uncertainty Principle," Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 425 (2015), arXiv:1407.0113 [hep-th]

[20] S. Pramanik, M. Faizal, M. Moussa and A. F. Ali, "Path integral quantization corresponding to the deformed Heisenberg algebra," Annals Phys. **362** (2015) 24, arXiv:1411.4979 [hep-th].

[21] G. Arcioni and M. A. Vazquez-Mozo, "Thermal effects in perturbative noncommutative gauge theories," JHEP **0001** (2000) 028 , arXiv:hep-th/9912140.

[22] K. Nozari and B. Fazlpour, "Generalized uncertainty principle, modified dispersion relations and early universe thermodynamics," Gen. Rel. Grav. **38** (2006) 1661, arXiv:gr-qc/0601092.

[23] S. Das and D. Roychowdhury, "Thermodynamics of Photon Gas with an Invariant Energy Scale," Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 085039, arXiv:1002.0192 [hep-th].

[24] N. Chandra and S. Chatterjee, "Thermodynamics of Ideal Gas in Doubly Special Relativity," Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 045012, arXiv:1108.0896 [gr-qc].

[25] A. F. Ali and M. Moussa, "Towards Thermodynamics with Generalized Uncertainty Principle," Adv. High Energy Phys. **2014** (2014) 629148.,

[26] K. Nozari, V. Hosseinzadeh and M. A. Gorji, "High temperature dimensional reduction in Snyder space," Phys. Lett. B **750**, 218 (2015), arXiv:1504.07117 [hep-th]

[27] M. Faizal, "Consequences of Deformation of the Heisenberg Algebra," Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **12** (2014) no.02, 1550022, arXiv:1404.5024 [hep-th].

[28] E. Harikumar, T. Juric and S. Meljanac, "Electrodynamics on κ-Minkowski space-time," Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 085020, arXiv:1107.3936 [hep-th].

[29] M. Faizal and S. I. Kruglov, "Deformation of the Dirac Equation," Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **25** (2016) 1650013, arXiv:1406.2653 [physics.gen-ph].

[30] M. Kober, "Gauge theories under incorporation of a Generalized Uncertainty Principle," Phys. Rev. D **82**, 085017 (2010), arXiv:1008.0154 [physics.gen-ph].

[31] M. Dias, J. M. Hoff da Silva and E. Scatena, "Higher-order theories from the minimal length," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **31** (2016) no.16, 1650087, arXiv:1605.04650 [hep-th].

[32] F. Bopp, "Eine lineare Theorie des Elektrons," Ann. Phys. (Berlin) **38**, 345 (1940).

[33] B. Podolsky and P. Schwed, "Review of a Generalized Electrodynamics," Rev. Mod. Phys. **20**, 40 (1948).

[34] A. V. Smilga, "Benign versus malicious ghosts in higher-derivative theories," Nucl. Phys. B **706**, 598 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0407231;

[35] T. Biswas, E. Gerwick, T. Koivisto and A. Mazumdar, "Towards singularity and ghost free theories of gravity," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 031101 (2012), arXiv:1110.5249 [gr-qc].

[36] A. Bartoli and J. Julve, "Gauge fixing in higher derivative field theories," Nucl. Phys. B **425**, 277 (1994), arXiv:hep-th/9403063.

[37] R. S. Chivukula, A. Farzinnia, R. Foadi and E. H. Simmons, "Global Symmetries and Renormalizability of Lee-Wick Theories," Phys. Rev. D **82**, 035015 (2010), arXiv:1006.2800 [hep-th].

[38] C. Lämmerzahl, "The pseudodifferential operator square root of the KleinGordon equation," J. Math. Phys. **34**, 3918 (1993).

[39] C. A. Bonin, R. Bufalo, B. M. Pimentel and G. E. R. Zambrano, "Podolsky Electromagnetism at Finite Temperature: ...," Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 025003, arXiv:0912.2063 [hep-th].

[40] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, "Table of Integrals, Series, and Products," 7th ed. (Academic Press, 2007)

[41] L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, "Symmetry Behavior at Finite Temperature," Phys. Rev. D **9** (1974) 3320.

[42] S. Kouwn, P. Oh and C. G. Park, "Massive Photon and Dark Energy," Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.8, 083012, arXiv:1512.00541 [astro-ph.CO].